

PAPER NAME

article proceeding ELITE.pdf

AUTHOR

mujahidah munawir

WORD COUNT

3441 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

18658 Characters

PAGE COUNT

10 Pages

FILE SIZE

179.4KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Apr 24, 2023 11:04 PM GMT+8

REPORT DATE

Apr 24, 2023 11:04 PM GMT+8

● 94% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 94% Internet database
- 4% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 8% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less than 8 words)



1 **Classroom Discourse Analysis on Language Interaction at the 1st Level Class of STAR English Course Parepare**

Mujahidah¹, Kasmiasi²

2 English Education Program, Tarbiyah Faculty, State Islamic Institute (IAIN) of Parepare, Indonesia
email: mujahidah@iainpare.ac.id

1 **ABSTRACT**

Language is taking a place as the main connector among the teacher and students in the teaching-learning process. The study of language that is related to teacher's language interaction in classroom teaching is called classroom discourse analysis. Study on classroom discourse structure firstly introduced by Sinclair and Coulthard by developing a model of discourse involving five levels in hierarchical order - from the lowest to the highest acts, move, exchange, transaction and interaction unit where the higher unit contains the lower ones. This research aimed to know what kind of the act structure that the students and teacher used in the Classroom based on Sinclair and Coulthard Rank Scale, also needed to be known which one of them dominantly used in interaction. The result of the research found that there were several types of Act Structure used in the classroom interaction such as Marker, Starter, Elicitation, Check, Directive, Informative, Prompt, Clue, Cue, Bid, Nomination, Acknowledge, Reply, React, Accept, Evaluate, Silent Stress, Meta statement, and Conclusion. The total numbers of Act Structure in the observation which have been done are 652 acts. Then, the type of Act Structure dominantly used is Elicitation (/el/) which around 14,7 % dominated the classroom interaction.

Keywords: Discourse Analysis, Sinclair and Coulthard Theory

5 First Received: 1 November 2021	Revised: 10 December 2021	Accepted: 5 January 2022
Final Proof Received: 12 February 2022	Published: 16 June 2022	

2 **How to cite (in APA style):**

Mujahidah & Kasmiasi. (2022). Classroom discourse analysis on language interaction at the 1st level class of STAR English course Parepare. In Octaberlina, L. R. & Muslimin, A. I. (Eds.), *The 9th ELITE International Conference 2021* (pp. 175-184). ELITE.

1 **INTRODUCTION**

Language is the main component of communication among the people. Commonly language has taken a role as a symbol of anything that needs to be identified. Everyone needs to deliver their idea and share the information. Language then has to take a role as a medium to explain them. Communication can happen in every place included in the classroom as one of the places of the teaching-learning process. The language which is used



1 in the classroom consists of transactional language and interactional language. The teacher uses transactional language to deliver the material to their student. On the other hand, 1 interactional language is concerned with the maintenance of the social relationship. Language is used by teachers and students to make interact in the classroom, so the teaching-learning process can run successfully. The interaction is done by using English and Indonesian language. But dominantly use the English Language as a daily language Conversation.

1 Conversation or Interaction during the learning process could be categorized as the part of Discourse. In linguistics, the discourse has also been viewed from different perspectives. The language additionally has been utilized in other diverse social associations usually found in the climate, for example, online media, promoting, study hall talk, and different sorts of talk. Simply, discourse analysis can be defined as the way to understand the social interaction by analyzing the language as the medium is used.

The study of language that's associated with teacher's language interaction in classroom teaching is named classroom discourse analysis. Classroom discourse analysis was firstly introduced by Sinclair and Coulthard. They developed a model of classroom discourse involving a series of ranks and levels arranged in hierarchical order. They found a structure of three-part exchanges: Initiation, Response, and Feedback, referred to as IRF. That model are utilized in this research to investigate the language interaction within the classroom as a fundamental rank of classroom interaction. The Sinclair and Coulthard Rank Scale consist of some scales such as: Act, Move, Exchange, Transaction, and Lesson.

For this research, the researcher focuses on analyzing the Act Structure of Classroom Language Interaction as the fundamental steps for building the other next steps. Acts are typically one free clause, plus any subordinate clauses but may additionally be constituted by single words or groups. Acts are the tiniest and lowest rank of discourse. Acts are wont to initiate succeeding discourse activity or reply to earlier discourse activity. This step could be recognized and identified by seeing the Conversation or the utterances that the teacher and students use in the classroom when teaching and learning process is started.

STAR English Course is one of the English courses in Parepare. It is located in Kebun Sayur Street, Ujung Lare, Soreang, Parepare. STAR English Course is a famous course in Parepare. It is not only focusing on Teaching English as a main service but also serving the students and visitors with moral values, discipline, and other kinds of good habits that are quite difficult to find in other courses. Star English Course has so many students on a different level. There can be fifteen to twenty students in one class. However,

Mujahidah & Kasmia. (2022).² *Classroom discourse analysis on language interaction at the 1st level class of star English course Parepare*



1 the learning process is still conducive and running well. It is because Star English Course having good teachers which have lots of experiences in teaching. The teacher can build a good condition in the classroom with a unique teaching style. So that, the researcher wants to grasp how the interaction is completed by the teacher and what sorts of language structure types utilized in the classroom.

Method and Result

The research is design descriptive qualitative research because the discourse analysis could be categorized and explained by descriptive qualitative research. This research was done at The First Level Class of STAR English Course Parepare and take all the participants as the object of research. The research was done for 1 month. It started by the first meeting for observation till the data was served and analyzed based on Sinclair and Coulthard Rank Scale Theory. 1 It used Triangulation theory for doing the validy of data. It was compared and combined with the theory of Susan and Gills called Everyday Conversation theory. Both this theory basically has same structure but have differences on the function also the situation.

From the research directly could be found the result as the table below: 2

Table 4.1 The percentage of the types of the Act structures found in the classroom

Interaction				
No	Kinds of Act Structure	Total Number of Act Structure	The Number of Act Structure	Percentage (%)
1	Elicitation	652	96	14,7%
2	Starter	652	90	13,8%
3	Reply	652	83	12,73%
4	Nomination	652	67	10,27%
5	Accept	652	67	10,27%
6	Evaluate	652	58	8,89%
7	Bid	652	42	6,4%
8	Informative	652	41	6,28%
9	Directive	652	34	5,3%
10	React	652	22	3,27%
11	Acknowledge	652	20	3%
12	Marker	652	15	2,3%
13	Silent stress	652	8	1,22%

THE 9TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE 2021

"Reflection on English Language Dynamics in Disruption Era : Theories and Practices on English Language Teaching, Linguistics and Literature"

14	Clue	652	3	0,46%
15	Meta statement	652	2	0,30
16	Conclusion	652	1	0,15%
17	Check	652	1	0,15%
18	¹ Prompt	652	1	0,15%
19	Cue	652	1	0,15%

The table above showed that there were 19 kinds of the Act structure is in the Classroom Interaction at the First Level Class of STAR English Course. They were divided such as Marker which found 15 times, Starter which found 90, Elicitation which found 96, Check which found 1 time, Directive which found 34 times, Informative which found 41 times, Prompt which found 1 time, Clue which found 3 times, Cue which found 1 time, Bid which found 42times, Nomination which found 67 times, Acknowledge which found 20 times, Reply which found 83 times, React which found 22 times, Accept which found 67 times, Evaluate which found 58 times, Silent Stress which found 8 times, Meta statement which found 2 times, and Conclusion which found 1 time in the classroom interaction. It means that most of the types of the act structures based on the Sinclair and Coulthard rank scale were used by the participants in the classroom when the learning process.

Then, it could be concluded also that the total number of active structures used in the classroom was 652. The highest one was Elicitation which was found 96 times during the interaction. Elicitation took a place around 14,7% of the whole conversation that the participants did along with the interaction. It proved that Elicitation was the most dominant type of the act structure used in the classroom. Then it directly followed by starter which took a place around 13,8% in the classroom interaction. Reply took a place around 12,73%. Nomination and acceptance have the same position in the classroom interaction which took a place around 10,27%. Evaluate, bid, informative, directive, react, acknowledge, marker, silent stress, clue, meta statement, conclusion, check, prompt, and cue were followed and took a place around less than 10% contribution in the classroom.

Discussion

The Sinclair theory found that there were 22 kinds of the act structure usually found in the classroom interaction during the learning process. They are: Elicitation, Starter, Marker, Reply, Nomination, Accept, Evaluate, Bid, Informative, Directive, React, Acknowledge, Marker, Silent Stress, Clue, Metastatement, Conclusion, Check, Prompt,



2 Cue, Comment, Loop, and Aside. The types of act structure found in the classroom also depended on the teacher and students' way to confess the word or doing interaction in the classroom. All of the act structure might happen but sometimes some of them might not be found.

Based on the finding of the first research problem, the researcher found the types of the act structure used in the classroom based on the Sinclair and Coulthard Rank Scale theory. This research was supported by the theory of Sinclair which mentioned the 22 types of the act structure that the students and teacher usually used in the classroom interaction. After observed the classroom interaction then can be found there are 19 kinds of the act structures used in the classroom at the first level class of star English course. They are: Elicitation, Starter, Marker, Reply, Nomination, Accept, Evaluate, Bid, Informative, Directive, React, Acknowledge, Marker, Silent Stress, Clue, Meta statement, Conclusion, Check, Prompt, and Cue. This finding is in line with the findings of studies undertaken by Maulidah Hasanah in 2017 at MtsN Bendosari in the Academic Year 2016/2017. The researcher found 22 kinds of the act structure as the Sinclair and Coulthard introduce on their theory.

The types of the Act Structure that the researcher did not find in the Classroom interaction are Loop, Aside, and Comment. The researcher found that 0% of the use of them in the classroom along this research was done. In this research, the fact shows that no one of the participants whether the student and teacher uses the kind of act structure in the classroom. It does not directly mean that the types are never used before and later along the learning process. It could be explained by the fact that the teacher is really focused on how to push the student for getting better and stimulate them by using a question and giving information then comment. Not only that, the fact found that the use of a good network and higher quality of interaction make the kinds of Loop sometimes never found in the classroom interaction. It is because the interaction can be clearly heard and understanding between the teacher and students. Then also aside was not found in this research because the teacher focused on how to handle the class well and ignore the other problems. Prepare the class better before starting the learning process was done by the teacher. So that, the Aside structure sometimes cannot be found in the classroom interaction.

Based on the second research question from the results of this research, then could be proved that the dominant type of the Act structure used in the classroom interaction at the First Level Class of STAR English Course was Elicitation (/el/) which based on Sinclair and Coulthard's theory had a function to request a linguistic response by using a question.



2 Elicitation could be found 96 times from the whole conversation in the classroom interaction. It found around 14,7% dominated the act structure which happened around 652 times in the classroom. Elicitation was dominated the classroom interaction. Elicitation was found dominantly because the teacher and students needed the respond when talking to each other. Elicitation is used to ask for linguistic respond. Interaction can run well when the participant gave a response to the speaker and Elicitation is used to ask for the response. Elicitation influenced the students' capabilities not only in public speaking but also in the other skill of language such as listening, grammar, and soon.

This finding is in line with the findings of studies undertaken by Maulidah Hasanah in 2017 at MtsN Bendosari in the Academic Year 2016/2017. The researcher revealed that the dominant type of act structure used by the participants in the classroom interaction was Elicitation though the research is not only focused on that. It is the kind of Act that the teacher used to ask for a response from the student. It is usually realized by a question. It proved that the dominant participant who shares utterances is the teacher as the main source in the learning process but with replied by the students respond. Both of them are active in the teaching and learning process.

1 Conclusion

Based on the data Analysis, it could be found that there were 19 kinds of the Act structure use in the classroom interaction. They are: The Act Structure that the teacher and students used in the classroom consisted of 652 acts. They were Marker, Starter, Elicitation, Check, Directive, Informative, Prompt, Clue, Cue, Bid, Nomination, Acknowledge, Reply, React, Accept, Evaluate, Silent Stress, Meta statement and Conclusion. It means that most of the types of the act structures based on the Sinclair and Coulthard rank scale were used by the participants in the classroom when the learning process.

The dominant type of Act Structure used in the classroom interaction at the 1st Level Class of STAR English Course is Elicitation/el/. The observation showed that the total numbers of Act are 652 then, Elicitation found 96 times in Interaction then dominated the classroom interaction around 14,7%. It means that Elicitation was the type of the act structure that used most of the whole learning process. Elicitation was found dominantly because the teacher and students needed the respond when talking to each other. Then has been known that Elicitation is used to ask for linguistic respond. Interaction can run well when the participant gave a response to the speaker with elicitation as the way to ask for the response.



REFERENCES

- A, Burns. "Analysing Spoken Discourse Implications for TESOL" In Burns, A. and Coffin, C. *Analysing English in a Global Context: A Reader*. London and New York: Routledge. 2001.
- Atkins, Andre. *Sinclair and Coulthard's 'IRF' model in a one-to-one classroom: an analysis*. 2000.
- Ayana, Akeem Odewale dkk. *An Analysis Classroom Discourse: A Case of a Selected English Class in the Eastern Cape of South Africa*. South Africa: University of Fort Hare. 2016.
- Bahar, Kaharudddin. *Interactional Speaking: A Guide to Enhance Natural Communication Skills in English*. Yogyakarta: Trust Media Ethnologue. 2014.
- BG, Wright. "Student-centered learning in Higher Education" *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* 23, no. 3. 2011.
- British Council. Interactional Language. (www.teachingenglish.org.uk). In June 2020.
- British Council. Transactional Language. (www.teachingenglish.org.uk). In June 2020.
- Coulthard, Malcolm. *Advances in Spoken Discourse Analysis*. London: Routledge, 1992.
- Coupland, Jaworsky A.N. *The Discourse Reader*. London: Routledge. 1999.
- Creswell, John W. *Research Design (Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches)*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publication. 2009.
- D, Willis. "Caught in the act: using the rank scale to address problems of delicacy" In Coulthard, M. *Advances in Spoken Discourse Analysis*. London and New York: Routledge. 1992.
- Damalewska, Dorota. "Classroom Discourse Analysis in EFL Elementary Lesson" *International Journal of Language, Literature, and Linguistic* 1, no.1.2015
- David, Nunan. *Introducing Discourse Analysis*. London: Penguin English. 1993.
- Fatimah, Djajasudarma and D.r. T. *Metode Linguistik Ancangan Metode Penelitian dan Kajian*. Bandung: PT. Eresco. 1993.
- G, Brown and Yule G. *Teaching the spoken language*. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press. 1983.
- Hasanah, Maulida. "Classroom Discourse Analysis On Language Interaction At Eighth Grade Of MtsN Bendosari In The Academic Year 2016/201" (Surakarta: State Islamic Institute of Surakarta. 2017.
- Hidayah, Kurnia. "An Analysis of Verbal Language Interaction Between Teacher and Students in English Classroom at The Twelfth Grade Students of MAN Boyolali in Academic Year 2018/2019" (Skripsi Thesis IAIN Surakarta: Surakarta. 2019.
- Huraerah, Nike Rosmeiarah. "The Analysis of Verbal Interaction Between the Teacher and Students in the Classroom (A Descriptive Study of EFL Classroom at A Senior High School in Bandung)" (Skripsi : Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. 2013.
- Mujahidah & Kasmia. (2022). *Classroom discourse analysis on language interaction at the 1st level class of star English course Parepare*



- J, Hellermann. "The Interactive Work of Prosody in the IRF Exchange: Teacher Repetition in Feedback Moves" *Language in Society* 32, no.1. 2003.
- J. M, Sinclair and R. M. Coulthard. *Toward an Analysis of Discourse: The English Used by the Teacher and Pupils*. London: Oxford University Press. 1975.
- Jones, Sarah. "Application of the Sinclair and Coulthard Discourse Model to a Korean University English Conversation Course" *MA TESOL/TEFL, Module 4*. 2009.
- KBBI, *Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI) Online*, (available at: <http://kbbi.web.id/pusat>), on June 2020.
- Kron R, Woodward and Remedios L. "Classroom discourse in problem-based learning classrooms in the health science" *Australian Review of Applied Linguistics*. 2007.
- Leavy, Patricia. *Quantitative, Qualitative, Mixed Methods, Art Based-and Community Based Participatory Research Approach*. New York, London: The Guilford Press. 2017.
- Lexy, Moleong. *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya. 2000.
- Matthew, Miles dkk. *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. California: Sage Publications. 1994.
- McCarthy, Michael. *Discourse Analysis for Language Teachers*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. 1991.
- Mutaqin, Sam Abdullah. *Planet English 36 Grammar*. Kediri: Kresna English Language Institute. 2013.
- Mohebi, Laila. "Discourse Analysis in a Virtual Classroom: A MOOC (Massive Open Online Course) Example". *Social Science Research Network Electric Journal*. 2019.
- R.A, Engle and F.R. Conan. "Guiding Principles for Fostering Productive Disciplinary Engagement: Explaining an emergent Arguments in a Community of Learners Classroom" *Cognition and Instruction* 20. no.4. 2002.
- Raco, J.R. *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif (Jenis, Karakter dan Keunggulannya)*. Jakarta: PT.Grasindo. 2010.
- Rashidi and Rafieerad. "Analyzing Patterns of Classroom Interaction in EFL Classrooms in Iran" *The Journal of Asia TEFL* 7, no. 3. 2010.
- Semonsky, C. M. S. and M. A. Spielberger. *Early Language Learning: A Model for Success*. United States of America: Information Age Publishing Inc. 2004.
- Suherdi, Didi. *Classroom Discourse Analysis: A Sistemiotic Approach*. Bandung: CELTIC Press. 2009.
- Thomas, Ann Malmalah. *Classroom Interaction*. Walton Street, Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1987.



- Thoms, J.J. "Classroom discourse in foreign language classrooms: A review of the literature," *Foreign Language Annals* 45. 2012.
- Walsh, S. *Exploring Classroom Discourse: Language in Action*. London and New York: Routledge. 2011.
- Wood, Linda A. dan Rolf O. Kroger. *Doing Discourse Analysis (Methods for Studying Action in Talk and Text)*. California, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publication. 2000.
- Wooffitt, Robin. *Conversation Analysis and Discourse Analysis*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publication Ltd. 2005.
- Widdowson, H.G. *Discourse Analysis*. United States: Oxford University Press. 2007.
- Xie, Xiaolan. *Why are students quiet? Looking at the Chinese context and beyond*. United States: Oxford University Press. 2009.
- Xin, Liu dkk. *EFL (English as a Foreign Language) Classroom Discourse Analysis of a Vocational College and Some Reflections*. Hangzhou, China : David Publishing. 201

The Association of Lecturers of English,
Linguistics, Literature and Education (ELITE)
Proudly Presents



THE 9TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE 2021

“Reflection on English Language Dynamics in Disruption Era : Theories and Practices on English Language Teaching, Linguistics and Literature”

● **94% Overall Similarity**

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 94% Internet database
- 4% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 8% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	ejurnal.iainpare.ac.id Internet	56%
2	repository.iainpare.ac.id Internet	36%
3	CSU, Fullerton on 2022-02-21 Submitted works	<1%
4	ejournal.upi.edu Internet	<1%
5	downloads.hindawi.com Internet	<1%