



Submissions

My Queue 1

Archives

Help

My Assigned



Filters

New Submission

1176 **Siri et al.**

PRESERVING SONGKOK RECCA K IN MODERN ERA: IDENTITY OF BUGIS PEOPLE IN BONE REGENCY

Submission

View



RJI-Revision Required



Russian Law Journal Managing-editor <managing.editor.rlj@gmail.com>
to hjasnanisiri, ariandifariid7, muhammadjufri677, muhiddinbakri, nurleliramli

Wed, Apr 19, 7:44 PM (8 days ago) ☆ ↶ 📄 ⋮

Dear **Author/Authors**

Paper ID: RLJ/REVIEW/J23/191

Paper Title: PRESERVING SONGKOK RECCA IN MODERN ERA: IDENTITY OF BUGIS PEOPLE IN BONE REGENCY

We are happy to inform you that your research paper is accepted to be published in "Russian Law Journal". with some revisions. Please find the result of review attached in this email.

The publication fee: USD 600

(Important) In order to complete registration, you must finish the following steps.

1. Download and complete the Copyright Form.
2. Kindly pay the fee using the link below and send us your final paper after revision in manuscript template , scanned copy of copyright form and payment receipt in a single email. You have to send documents at managing.editor.rlj@gmail.com

Manuscript template:

https://russianlawjournal.org/downloads/paper_template.docx

Copyright Form:

https://russianlawjournal.org/downloads/copyright_form.pdf

Payment link: [Click to Pay Processing Charges](#)

Last date of Registration: 22th April 2023

Publication will take at least 60 days after registration.

3. Kindly mail us payment receipt, scanned copy of copyright form and your final manuscript according to manuscript template in a single email. You have to send documents at managing.editor.rlj@gmail.com . Do not send multiple emails for copyright form, payment receipt and final paper. The paper should be in template and you are suggested to crosscheck all the details such as author's name, its affiliation etc. **Once a paper gets published it will not be possible to update the paper.**

Regards,
Managing Editor
Russian Law Journal (RLJ)
<https://russianlawjournal.org>

3 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail ⓘ



PRESERVING *SONGKOK RECCA*K IN MODERN ERA: IDENTITY OF BUGIS PEOPLE IN BONE REGENCY

Hasnani Siri

hjhasnanisiri@iainpare.ac.id

Muhammad Farid

ariandifarid7@gmail.com

Muhammad Jufri

muhammadjufri677@gmail.com

Muhiddin Bakri

muhiddinbakri@iainpare.ac.id

Nurleli Ramli

nurleliramli@iainpare.ac.id

State Islamic Institute of Parepare (IAIN Parepare, Indonesia)

Abstract

This study explored the preservation of a cultural heritage of Bugis community in Bone Regency. The cultural heritage which is named songkok reccak is a skullcap used to cover head, which in the royal period of Bone Kingdom was functioned as a sign of social strata. As the change of royal system to democratic system that terminated the power of the king and the noblemen and the influence of the globalization, the songkok reccak then transformed to adapt to the social cultural change in the society, therefore the songkok now is used as accessories by all class of people to cover the head of men when they perform prayer. The use of songkok reccak for religious performance proved that songkok reccak is still good for the community and at the same time showed the adaptability of Bugis community to the world change.

Keywords: songkok reccak, modern era, cultural identity

Commented [L1]: An Abstract should contain method, result and significance

1. Introduction

It has been known that in the modern era, no culture in the world that does not undergo changes. The changes might be caused by the attack of the transformation, or by the result of the contact with other culture. As Indonesian is well-known with the richness of its culture, there are a big worry that modernization might delete/remove the traditional value of the people that for a long time guided in their everyday activities and has been passed from a few generation. Indonesian people have experienced that transformation not only give positive effect but also negative effects to their culture. Abdullah et.al., (2019) argue that the transformation experienced by Indonesia created both growth and hazard. In terms of cross-cultural interaction, it can enhance tolerance, but on the other hand, it can “exacerbate stereotypes and prejudice” (Bennett, M. J., 2012). In addition, the cultural contact which affect each other might reduce one’s cultural identity, the one that they have maintained for a long time (Bochner, S. (Ed.). (2013).

Commented [L2]: State the urgency!

On the other hand, the cross-cultural interaction experienced by some ethnics in Indonesia have shown their ability to adapt to different culture to which they interact. Like what have been

experienced by Bugis people “have been well known as migratory people especially since the Gowa Kingdom was conquered by the Dutch in 1669 and conflict between the Bugis kingdoms and Makassar kingdoms as well as internal conflicts amongst Bugis kingdoms starting from 16th century to 19th century which caused the migration of Bugis (Murad, Syamsidar, (2013). Many evidences have proven their adaptation skill to adapt to a new culture and at the same time to keep maintaining their identity.

A number of studies have been done to investigate the cross-cultural interaction involving Bugis people and the strategies they use to maintain their cultural identity in multicultural community in modern era. The first study on Bugis people migrated and adapted in another region of Indonesia was conducted by Budhi (2015). The study documented the life of Bugis people of Wajo who live in Pagatan, Tanah Bumbu, South Kalimantan. They are well-known with their cloth craft which is called Tenun Pagatan which their weaving techniques are learned from their ancestors. Through the process of adaptation and modernization, kain pagatan which is the motif is initially only square, now can be found in combination with other motifs such as sasirangan which is originally the motif of Banjar cloth, and triangles motif. The changes also happen to the color which is initially use fruit color, then change using artificial coloring. The changes reflect.

The second study conducted by Segara (2018) also portrayed the Bugis people life in Kampung Bugis in Serangan, Bali who struggled for surviving with their traditional custom in the majority of Hindus’ community. As the previous studies have convinced, the study also finds the same results that Bugis people are capable to adapt to a new situation where they are the minority group among the Hindu’s people. They live in harmony with the majority community, they can speak Bali language and they sometimes wear Bali traditional wearing in Balinese traditional ceremony; yet they still maintain their culture **yang sarat** with Islamic religion values such as taking prayers in their old mosque and merawat their old qur’an, visiting old tomb, and live in stage house like their ancestors did in the past.

The third study conducted by Suliyati and Rochwulaningsih (2021) investigate the social and cultural changes experienced by Bugis people inhabited in Karimunjawa, for example, when they initially lived in Karimun Jawa, they built Bugis house made of wooden to remind them on their homeland, but as later they were difficult to get wood, then they built their houses as Javanese house style and their traditional houses are used for rent for tourists. In addition, Buginese people which were formerly known as fishermen and traders, when they came to Karimunjawa and found fertile land, they utilized them to be rice plant and other agricultural plants. They become fishermen, traders, farmers, and work in tourism, promoting their traditional house as a guest house. Working in tourism make them interact with other community from other ethnicities, learning other culture; making them open-minded. Moreover, as the inhabitants of Karimunjawa include people from other ethnic communities such as Javanese and Madurese, they intensively inter-corporate in traditional, cultural ceremony, social activity, religious ceremony which later changed their cultural value for instance, the marriage between Bugis woman and Bugis man is commonly conducted in Bugis custom and tradition eventhough the custom is not strictly

implemented. If the marriage between the Bugis man and Javanese woman, they use Western dress, and the marriage covenant is Islam. Bugis custom used is Bugis food. Dealing with language, Bugis people teach their children their local language, and when their children are ready to go to school they also teach them other languages spoken by other ethnic communities live in Karimunjawa such as Javanese and Madurese. Therefore Bugis people can speak more than one language include Bahasa Indonesia. But generally, language spoken in the family is Bugis language. So, even though, they speak other local languages, they still retain their own language as their identity. This study reveals that Bugis people in Karimunjawa can adapt to new custom, culture, yet at the same time maintain their identity as the member of Bugis ethnic like those live in South Sulawesi.

Even though the three studies above have successfully portrayed the adaptability of Bugis people live among the multicultural community, it does not yet reveal how Bugis community adapt to changing world in the modern era. In fact, globalization has change the national culture as well as the local culture, as Asfina & Olivia (2016) argue that globalization is one factor that contributes to cultural changes beside international trade and technology development. In reality, Bugis people in their own homeland keep struggling for their culture preservation. Therefore, this study is intended to investigate the changes undergone by the culture of Bugis people inhabited in Bone Region in South Sulawesi. One of their material culture that has processed transformation due to the influence of the modern culture is songkok reccak, a head cover made of a palm tree fiber which was formerly in the Bone Kingdom used by men as a sign of nobility. Through years, such a symbol disappears and change to a new one suit to the modern era as well the spirit of Islam, which now become identity of Bugis men wearing the head cover.

Commented [L3]: Why? And How your study fill that gap!

2. Literature Review

a. Bugis ethnic in Bone Region

Geographically, Bone district is bordered by Wajo district to the north, Sinjai district to the south, Soppeng, Maros, Pangkep and Barru districts to the west, to the east by Bone Bay which connects Bone with Southeast Sulawesi province. There are at least nine sub-districts out of 27 sub-districts in Bone district which are included in the coastal area. Due to this natural condition, most of the people in Bone district depend on their kitchen smoke from the agricultural and fishery sectors. Apart from agriculture and fisheries, this area is also known for its rich natural resources in the mining sector, such as industrial or building materials, gold, copper, silver, coal and quartz sand.

Historically, before the kingdom of Bone was formed, the mainland of Bone consisted of seven "Wanua" (countries) which often had conflicts. Motivated by a strong sense of ancestral ties, conflicts often arose from the seven wanua or countries. Attacks among fellow wanua often occur, a stronger wanua will defeat a weak wanua, or what is usually described by the term "sianre bale taue" (like fish that eat each other). Until a "Tomanurung" (a person who descended from the sky) appeared who united the seven women by forming a "kawerang" (Bone Land Fellowship Association). The person referred to as Tomanurung was named Manurung'E ri Matajang who had the title Mata Silampo'E and became the first king in the kingdom of Bone.

Long before the kingdom of Bone was formed, there was already a community in the form of a group whose leader had the title Kulala. With the arrival of To Manurung (ManurungE ri Matajang) who was given the title Mata SilompoE, there was a merger of these groups including Cina, Barebbo, Awangpone and Palakka. Coinciding with the appointment of To Manurung Mata SilompoE to become king of Bone, a government contract was made in the form of an oath of allegiance to the people of Bone. This oath is a symbol of loyalty of citizens to the king. This is also at the same time a reflection of the style of government of the Bone kingdom at its inception. Apart from surrendering to the king, the people's hope is also imprinted so that it becomes the king's duty to create security, prosperity, and ensure law enforcement and justice for the people.

The text of the oath of the people spoken by the Chinese ruler representing the people of Bone is "*Angikko kuraukkaju riyामी'ri riyakkeng kutappalireng elomu elo ikkeng adammukuwa mattampako kilao... Maliko kisawe. Illauko I abbere. Mudongirikng temmatippang. Muampirik-keng temmakare. Musalimurikeng temmadinging*". Meaning: "You are the wind and we are leaves of wood, where it blows there we obey your wishes and words that are true and apply to us, when you invite, we welcome and when you ask, we give, even though our children and wife if my master does not like us too do not like it, but you keep us prosperous and prosperous. You cover us so we don't get cold. This oath of allegiance is then seen in people's daily lives to submit and obey their leaders. The phrase "polo pang polo panni" (broken leg, broken leg) which conveys the meaning of loyalty to the will of the king/leader is enough to prove how strong the loyalty of the people to their leader is.

The culture of the people of Bone is also known to be very strong against the system of norms or customs. They are very firm in holding on to the concept of series or self-esteem as an integral unit of the 5 (five) main elements respectively, namely: *adek* (custom), *bicara* (saying), *rapang* (good deed), *wari* (the ability to distinguish between right and wrong and *sarak* (Islamic rules). All of them are intertwined with each other, as an organic unity in the minds of the people which gives a sense of self-worth and dignity from each individual. In the implementation of people's lives, this *siri* (*pride*) is glued together by the pangadereng system (customary norms). To realize the value of the customary norms, the people of Bone at the same time practiced the cultural spirit of *Sipakatau* (*saling menghargai*), *Sipakalebbi* (*saling memuliakan*), and *Sigunakannge* (*saling memberi manfaat*).

Islam began to enter the kingdom of Bone in 1605, to be precise, during the reign of the tenth king of Bone named We tenri Tuppu Matinroe ri Sidenreng. At that time also the name Matoa Pitu was changed to Ade' Pitu (**Hadat Seven**) and the name Matoa was changed to Arung. After Indonesian independence, namely in May 1950, for the first time since the formation of the kingdom of Bone, there were people's demonstrations in the city of Watampone. They demanded the dissolution of the State of East Indonesia (NIT), as well as the abolition of the royal government and stated that they stood behind the government of the Republic of Indonesia. Over time, the Bone kingdom experienced ups and downs due to internal and external upheavals and ended with the collapse of the Bone kingdom in 1950 and then joined the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia (NKRI) on July 4, 1959 which was legalized in Jakarta and strengthened by RI Law No. 29 1959 concerning the establishment of level II regions in Sulawesi. So the Bone Regency that

we now know is actually a form of integration of a large empire that has a long history (H.L. Purnama, 2014).

Now, there are at least nine sub-districts out of 27 sub-districts in Bone district which are included in the coastal area. Due to this natural condition, most of the people in Bone Regency depend on their kitchen smoke from the agricultural and fishery sectors. Apart from agriculture and fisheries, this area is also known for its rich natural resources in the mining sector, such as industrial or building materials, gold, copper, silver, coal and quartz sand.

b. Functional Approach of Cultural Heritage

Malinowski in Zhang (2019) argues that the heart of historical and cultural heritage lies in its function, as the function of culture is to fulfil the human's need. The current view of functional theory sees the limitation of the functional theory of Malinowski since the function mentioned by him is the function of the object in the beginning of its creation, not the function in the modern era (Zhang, 2019). Fei Xiaotong in Zhang (2019) then proposes the new functional theory that the historical and cultural object is "a resource that can be exploited and utilized".

The researchers believe that idea of development and utilization of cultural heritage of Xiaotong is the strong basis to preserve the cultural heritage that is the identity of a nation. Murgianto (1993) also find in his research that an aspect of culture, namely tradition, will change if people do not think it is good for them. In the case of Indonesia that owns many historical and cultural heritage either sites, objects, or practices, cultural preservation is crucial to prevent the loss of the cultural heritage as the development of technology and communication in the modern era.

Onyima (2016) argues that cultural heritage can serve a variety of functions such as economic, historical, tourism, aesthetic, educational and research. Many countries and non-government organizations have been aware on the importance of the cultural heritage preservation for their country's identity. Thus, they support any efforts done for the preservation, such as the International Expert Meeting on the Preservation and Revitalization of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of the Ethnic Minorities in Viet Nam in 1994 (Almeida-Klein, 2001) and preservation of oral tradition of Nigerian (Onyima, 2016)

3. Methodology

The research was conducted to find out the changes of the culture of Bugis people and how they preserve their cultural heritage namely *songkok reccak*. The research was conducted in Bone Regency. The research location were some areas around Bone regency which were considered having data about *songkok reccak* such as manufacturing center of *songkok reccak*, museum, government office and other places.

The research design was qualitative. Phenomenology was used to get a complete understanding on the reality of changes undergone to the culture of Bugis people after the reign of the Bone Kingdom from the perspectives of the Bugis people. People's perspective on the changes on the cultural heritage namely *songkok reccak* may vary from person to person.

The research data were taken from cultural figures, community leaders, and *songkok reccak* artisans. Among them, ten were selected using the purposive sampling technique. Data were also in form of document such as articles concerning the history of Bone and their culture in the past.

Data related to the culture of Bugis society in the past were gained through literature review and documents. The data were concerned about the culture of Bugis people in Bone specific to the origin of *songkok reccak*. Data was also collected by interviewing cultural figures and community leaders. The interview questions were focused on the preservation of *songkok reccak* as the identity of Bugis ethnic in Bone regency. Meanwhile, the data about the present culture of Bugis society was gained by doing observation of existing cultural phenomena. Observation can comprehensively gain data on the cultural transformation undergone by the Bone people in the modern era. The collected data from cultural figures, community leaders, and *songkok reccak* artisans were then transcribed to help the researchers reading the data.

The steps used in the data analysis were heuristics, verification; interpretation; and presentation. The collected data were then analyzed using qualitative techniques by comparing several related references to draw meaning so that the interpretation can be scientifically justified (Herdiannyah, 2013). To test the validity of data collected, the researchers check the data validity by extending the observation duration and re-interviewing the informants. Visiting the informants and asking them more questions were helpful to build closed relationship with them, such relationship made them cooperative; they got open to give data. Finding references concerning the research topic were also done to ensure the correctness of the data. Besides, member check was also done by confirming the collected data to the informants to ensure the validity of the collected data. The data gained through documentation, interview, and observation were analyzed using historical approach, socio-cultural approach, and functional approach. Historical approach analyzed the cultural change undergone by *songkok reccak* from it's first use in the kingdom era until the present use in the modern era. Socio-cultural approach viewed the transformation of the *songkok reccak* was affected by the socio-cultural change happened in the society as the change from kingdom system before the national independence of Indonesia to democracy system drastically change the social and cultural system of Bone society. Finally functional approach view that all cultural products

function in a society. Songkok reccak as a cultural product performs a specific function in Bugis society and the society can exploit the new function follows the need of the society in the modern era.

D. Results

1. The history of Songkok reccak: Some facts about the origin of songkok reccak

There are some opinions about the name *songkok rekca*. In the past, *songkok rekca* was named *urekca*, which was derived from *urekta* (palm tree fibers). Bugis people believe that palm leaves (*lontarak*) was a source of knowledge since long time before they use paper, they had used palm leaves to write knowledge. Other claimed that *songkok rekca* is an abbreviation of *urek acca* which means a scholar, so whoever wear the skullcap was a scholar, that is why in the past this cap was widely used by anregurutta (ulama) (Andi Muhammad Yushand, personal interview, 2022). However, another opinion (Sampe, 2022) argued that the name of *songkok reccak* describes the making process namely *direccak reccak* (being beaten).

There are also some different opinions on the first use of this skullcap. The first version argued that *songkok rekca* was firstly used in the war between the kingdom of Bone and the kingdom of Tana Toraja when the fifteenth king of Bone La Tenritatta Arung Palakka attacked Tana Toraja in 1683. In the war, Tana Toraja troops put up a very fierce resistance, and both of the troops wore sarong that in the night, it was difficult to distinguish them, therefore the king of Bone commanded his troops to wear songkok rekca as a sign of Bone kingdom troops. However, this version can be claimed untrue, since as previously explained, the name of songkok reccak is taken from the process of making this skullcap namely *direccak-reccak* (being beaten). Other source (Andi Baso Bone Mappasisi, personal interview in Ilham & Yosafat Tamara Durry, 2020) stated that songkok reccak has been known in the era of the first King of Bone named Manurunge ri Matajang Mata Silompoe. This claim stated that the songkok reccak artisans now are the heredity artisans which are mostly found in sub-district of Awangpone which was used to be a territory of a small kingdom existed before the kingdom of Bone. This fact supports the claim that songkok reccak has been known before the founding of Bone kingdom. The last version argued that songkok reccak was the imitation of the outside culture. hasil tiruan dari kebudayaan luar (Abdi Mahesa, personal interview, February 1st, 2021). Songkok reccak might be adopted from the skullcaps owned by many cultures in the past such as Arab and China although Arab's cap was used as a religious identity, and those of China was used by traders, while songkok reccak was used as a sign of social stratification.

There are also some facts found regarding the use of songkok rekca in the past. This skullcap has been used by Bugis nobles in a diplomacy between kingdom of Bone and kingdom of Gowa in Tamalate in the era of the sixth kingdom of Bone, La Uliyo Bote'E Matinroe ri Iterung (Andi Muhammad Yushand, personal interview, 2022). Later, in the period of the thirty second king of Bone, La Mappanyukki, songkok reccak' became the official skullcap worn by the king, nobles, and the king courtiers. To distinguish their strata, the skullcap was made with gold edging. Not just anyone was allowed to wear this skullcap. In this era, songkok reccak was named Songkok' pamiring (Pemerintah Kabupaten Bone, 2021).

Commented [L4]: Please be consistent on your purposes!!

Commented [L5]: Your data was not presented well

2. Norms on the use of songkok reccak in the Royal Era

Initially, in the royal period, the use of *songkok reccak* was ruled by the user's strata or rank in the kingdom by the height of the golden edge of the skullcap. Firstly, the king and the sons (sons born from both noble father and mother), were allowed to wear *songkok reccak* with gold in the whole parts. Next, the other nobles such as the king's relatives and the members of the main auxiliary body of the royal government were allowed to use the cap with with a width of gold three-fifths of the height of the skullcap or the cap with gold covering a half of the height of his skullcap. Then, the ordinary people (*todeceng* or *tomaradeka*) were allowed to wear the skullcap with golden edge. The slaves, who were the lowest strata in the royal system were not allowed to wear the cap

Brooke in Pelras (2006) in 1840 described that when king of Bone entered his kingdom, the lower rank nobles slightly buried their skullcaps behind their heads while the kings' brothers tilted their skullcaps to the left, and the other nobles to the right, with the degree of inclination adjusted to each rank. This description indicates that the degree of nobility in the kingdom of Bone was determined by the degree of inclination of their skullcap. This rule was different from the one during the reign of the thirty second king of Bone, La Mappanyukki, since the degree of nobility during the era of La Mappanyukki was determined by the height of the golden rim (*pamiringpulaweng*) on the skullcap.

Songkok reccak owned two types, namely *songkok kabusu'* and *songkok pamiring/pamiringpulaweng*. *Songkok kabusu'* was a skullcap that is plain in color without any mixture of other colors, including gold. This cap was generally black, reddish brown, and white, with black fibers sometimes added to the lines, which is called *patteppo*. Whereas the *songkok pamiring/pamiringpulaweng* is a *songkok reccak* with gold which is only used by kings and nobles.

3. The Influence of socio-cultural changes of Bugis Society towards Songkok reccak

In 1950, not long after the declaration of Indonesian Independence, the kingdom of Bone officially united to the unitary state of the Republic of Indonesia and this started the abolition of the royal system in Bone. However, even though the old system has stop, but still, the basic standard of Bone's age until now is based on the founding of the Bone kingdom in 1330. This is under the consideration that the abolition of the royal system was not caused by a conquest, but a willingness of both the king and the people. Therefore, until now, Bone culture still affects the life of the society.

However, the changes of the royal system to the democratic government, has influenced some culture of Bugis, such as the use of songkok reccak. Songkok reccak in the independence era has become the public cap as well as one of the accessories of the traditional Bugis clothing, therefore the users are not defined anymore by the social strata in the kingdom. This can be seen by the large number of reccak skullcap users found from all social strata.

Currently, songkok reccak is widely sold in several areas in South Sulawesi, including in Bone district. In the Bone district itself, there is an area where the songkok reccak craftsmen are known for generations, namely in Pacing Village, Awangpone District. Some people in this area work as songkok reccak craftsmen, apart from other activities. By looking at this phenomenon, it is not surprising that currently the use of the songkok reccak, which has a high gold rim, is no longer determined by a position in the government, but is determined by the amount of money spent to buy it. The higher the gold rim, the higher the price. Despite the

widespread use of the songkok reccak in Bone society, there are still some Bone people who value the songkok reccak in high esteem by using it arbitrarily with the intention of honoring nobles and royal officials during the Bone Kingdom.

4. Preservation of Songkok reccak as the cultural heritage

Currently, songkok reccak is widely used by public society of all social status. Songkok reccak can be easily found worn by people not only in Bone regency but all regions in South Sulawesi even in the other areas of Indonesia where Bugis and Makassar people inhabit. This indicates that people preserve the cap as the cultural heritage of their ancestors.

After the abolition of the royal system, the Bone society modified the gold rim of the songkok reccak so that there is no longer a difference in the gold level on the cap which shows the difference in status for its users. The function of the songkok reccak as a head cover for men is not only intended for completeness of traditional clothing for cultural ceremonial activities but seeing its function to dispel strands of hair. Therefore, the cap function has changed as the society changes.

Moreover, the songkok reccak is also modified to be used as prayer equipment for men with a gold border that conforms to the rules of using gold in Islam to perform prayers. As all of the Bugis people in Bone are Muslim, the changes of the cap function fulfil their need so that in the implementation of prayers intended for men, their hair does not block the forehead when prostrating. In this case, songkok reccak has fulfilled the society's need to perform their religious obligation.

The modification of *songkok reccak* is not only on the gold rim, currently the craftsmen also make the cap in a variety of motifs and colors, including adding Islamic motif such as the calligraphy of the name of Allah the God and the Prophet Muhammad, which probably raise the public interest in *songkok reccak*. This is a business opportunity for the people of Bone because the main ingredient is fiber leaves which are found in the Bone area with a typical woven technique of the craftsmen, making this songkok reccak not just anything that can be made by other community groups (Asriani Alimuddin, 2018). Therefore, the preservation of the songkok reccak has added economic value to the society especially residents in the Awangpone sub-district.

E. Discussion

The existence of *songkok reccak* and its transformation in the era of Indonesian independence is an interesting fact. As previously explained, the use of *songkok reccak* in the royal period was closely related to social strata of its users, yet the social class division based on the people's nobility has been the history after the abolition of the royal system in Bone regency. Therefore, the new trend on the use of *songkok reccak* for cultural event and government event use as well as prayer performance prove that Bugis people still believe that the cap worthy. It is in line with Fei Xiaotong's idea (cited in Zhang 2019) that the historical and cultural object can be utilized for fulfilling people's needs.

The integration of Islamic value to the Bugis culture adds the value of the *songkok reccak*, and show that Bugis people cannot be separated with their culture and their religion as well. Islam and culture are the community identities, where culture is a social identity while Islam is a belief identity. The integration between Islam and culture in a cultural heritage

object illustrates that Islam and culture complement each other without contradicting each other.

The *songkok reccak* also has economic function to the society, since the modification of the cap following the needs of the society has raised the public interest to buy the cap. This is in line with Onyima's argument (2016) that the cultural heritage can have variety of functions in society such as economic, historical, tourism, aesthetic, educational and research. This fact also shows that *songkok reccak* as a cultural heritage is still considered good by the Bugis society.

Moreover, the transformation of *songkok reccak* in the modern era has indicated that the Bugis people are adaptable to the new era as argued by Ahmadin (2018) that Bugis people "is an open society, where they quickly experience social and cultural changes". In addition, the peoples' social status is no longer determined by their nobility, but wealth, therefore it is common to see people who are not the descendants of the royal family to use the *songkok reccak* with a high gold rim as the nobles wore in the past. Now, the cap craftsman will produce *songkok reccak* as the customers demand. It is the result of creativity, taste, and initiative of the domestic community,

Currently, *songkok reccak*, which used to be plain colors, are now available with various colors and motifs, even calligraphy motifs that read Allah the God and the Prophet Muhammad are also available. The existence of the calligraphy motif illustrates that there is an Islamic influence in the *songkok reccak*. Bone society, which most of the inhabitants are Muslim, is a good opportunity for craftsmen to increase their interest in *songkok reccak* by including religious symbols in *songkok reccak*, which add value to their interest. The integration between Islam and culture in a cultural heritage object illustrates that Islam and culture complement each other. Islam and culture are community identities, where culture is a social identity while Islam is a belief identity, therefore integrating the two gives the meaning of a diverse identity which, although in different directions, is united in the form of nationalism by not dropping each other, looking for gaps to accept it.

Commented [L6]: Unclear... what is really you want to discuss?

F. Conclusions

Songkok reccak is crucial to be preserved considering both its historical and functional values. Bugis people in Bone have shown to the world that a thing belong to the past could be given new function fits the modern era. Islam as the religion of most people in Bone also contributed to this transformation. The new face of *songkok reccak* reflects the identity of Bugis people can survive and adapt to the changing culture.

Commented [L7]: Not represent your study!

References:

- Almeida-Klein, Susanne. (2001). Viet Nam's cultural diversity: approaches to preservation United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Mahesa, Abdi. 2022. Personal Interview, February 1st, 2022.
- Abdullah, et.al., 2019. From Selfism to Indifferentism: Challenges Facing Indonesian Society and Culture, 2015–2045. Academic Journal of

- Ahmadin, M. (2019). The Social System of Buginese People in Modern Era: A Review of Sociological History. In *1st International Conference on Advanced Multidisciplinary Research (ICAMR 2018)* (pp. 394-397). Atlantis Press.
- Alimuddin, Asriani. (2018). Komunikasi Simbolik Songkok Reccak' di Kabupaten Bone. *Al Qisthi: Jurnal Sosial Dan Politik*, 31–50.
- Asfina & Olivia. (2016). Be Proud of Indonesian Culture Heritage Richness and be Alert of It's Preservation Efforts in the Global World. *Humanus*, Vol. XV, No 2, October, 2016.
- Bennett, M. J. (2012, February). Turning cross-cultural contact into intercultural learning. In *Proceedings of the Universidad 2012 8th International Congress on Higher Education*. Havana: The University for Sustainable Development.
- Budhi, Setia. Bugis Pagatan: Migration, Adaptation and Identity OSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science. (IOSR-JHSS) Volume 20, Issue 5, Ver. 1 (May. 2015), PP 71-78
- Bochner, S. (Ed.). (2013). *Cultures in contact: Studies in cross-cultural interaction* (Vol. 1). Elsevier.
- Herdiansyah, H. (2013). Wawancara, observasi, dan focus groups: Sebagai instrumen penggalan data kualitatif. *Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada*.
- Ilhan & Yosafat Tamara Durry. (2020). Persepsi Masyarakat Terhadap Songkok To Bone Sebagai Pakaian Adat Dalam Upacara Pernikahan Masyarakat Bugis Bone. *Jurnal SILABI Education* Vol. VIII No. 3, Januari-Maret 2020, .
- Murad, Syamsidar, The Existence of Bugis Migrants (a Study of Social Interaction Strategy in Kendari, Southeast Sulawesi-Indonesia) (2013). *RJSSM: Volume: 03, Number: 05, September 2013*, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3269367>
- Murgianto, S. (1993). Moving between unity and diversity: Indonesian dance in a changing perspective. *TDR (1988-), 37(2)*, 131-160.
- Onyima, B. N. (2016). Nigerian cultural heritage: Preservation, challenges and prospects. *OGIRISI: a new Journal of African Studies*, 12, 273-292.
- Pelras, Christian. (2006). *Manusia Bugis*. Jakarta: Nalar.
- H.L. Purnama. 2014. *Kerajaan Bone Penuh Pergolakan Heroik*. Makassar: Arus Timur.
- Suliyati & Rochwulaningsih. (2019). Social Changes and Strategies for Bugis Ethnic Cultural Retention in Karimunjawa. *Journal of Maritime studies and National Integration*, 3 (1), 14—21.
- Segara, I Nyoman Yoga. 2018. *The Cultural Treasures of Kampung Bugis in the Customary Village of Serangan, Denpasar*. Heritage of Nusantara. *International Journal of Religious Literature and Heritage*, No.1 Juni 2018.
- Yushand, Andi Muhammad. 2022. Personal Interview. February 3rd, 2022.
- Zhang, J. (2019). New functionalism: rejuvenating historical and cultural heritage through urban revival. *International Journal of Anthropology and Ethnology*, 3(1), 1-14.



Russian Law Journal

Date: 19 April 2023

Ref. No.: RLJ/REVIEW/J23/193

Subject: Revision Required

Dear Hasnani Siri, Muhammad Farid, Muhammad Jufri, Muhiddin Bakri, Nurleli Ramli,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript entitled **[PRESERVING SONGKOK RECCAK IN MODERN ERA: IDENTITY OF BUGIS PEOPLE IN BONE REGENCY]** has been accepted for publication in the Russian Law Journal with some revisions.

After a thorough review process, the reviewers and the editorial board have recognized the significant contribution of your work to the field of Law. Your research methodology, analysis, and conclusions were found to be rigorous and insightful, making a valuable addition to the discourse on Journal's Scope.

We appreciate your commitment to producing high-quality research and your contribution to the field of law. We are confident that your work will be of great interest to our readership and will stimulate further research and discussion.

We would like to thank you for your submission and congratulate you on your successful acceptance. We look forward to publishing your work in the upcoming issue of the Russian Law Journal.

Regards



Olga Golechkova

Managing Editor,

Russian Law Journal

www.russianlawjournal.org



INVOICE

RLJ/REVIEW/J23/191

Russian Law Journal (RLJ)
<https://www.russianlawjournal.org>

Bill To:
Hasnani Siri
IAIN Parepare

Ship To:
Online Research
Paper Publication
Service

Date: Apr 19, 2023
Due Date: Apr 26, 2023

Balance Due: 600 USD

Item	Quantity	Rate	Amount
Research Paper Publication Fee	1	600 USD	600 USD

Total: 600 USD

Terms:

100% payment should be paid in advance. Once an article is published it is not possible to refund the amount.

RLJ_Online Article Publication



Wed, Apr 26, 6:49 PM (23 hours ago)



Russian Law Journal Managing-editor <managing.editor.rlj@gmail.com>

to hjasnanisiri

Dear **HASNANI SIRI, MUHAMMAD FARID, MUHAMMAD JUFRI, MUHIDDIN BAKRI, NURLELI RAMLI**

Congratulations!

Your article PRESERVING SONGKOK RECCAK IN MODERN ERA: IDENTITY OF BUGIS PEOPLE IN BONE REGENCY has just been published and is available at the following link:

<https://www.russianlawjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/1333>

Your manuscript will now form part of this open access publication and your content will be licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. It is freely accessible to any user and will shortly be indexed in various international research repositories for further dissemination and reach in readership. There is no need for you to order print issues or copies.

Regards,
Managing Editor
Russian Law Journal (RLJ)
<https://russianlawjournal.org>



Reply



Forward

